

TRAUMA TV: MEDIA-INDUCED SECONDARY TRAUMATIZATION IN A SAMPLE OF TURKISH ADULTS



DENIZ UYANIK₁ & VASILIKI ALEXIA VARELA₂



¹Department of Psychology, New York College, Athens, Greece & Department of Psychology, Bolton University, Bolton, UK.

²Department of Social Medicine, Psychiatry and Neurology, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece.

HELLENIC REPUBLIC

National and Kapodistrian

University of Athens

ABSTRACT

Media coverage of mass traumatic events (e.g. natural disasters, terrorist attacks etc.) is today, frequent in both traditional and new-age media. Media exposure however, is left out of the diagnostic criteria of secondary trauma in the DSM V. This study examined secondary traumatization in a sample of Turkish adults, with the aim of showing how traumatic events, witnessed via the media can equally lead to significant post-traumatic stress to those directly witnessed. Turkish participants (N=122) were asked to complete a demographic questionnaire containing their trauma histories, the PTSD Checklist-5 (PCL-5), and the Impact of Events Scale Revised (IES-R) for each of the traumatic events they were directly and indirectly exposed to. Overall, post-traumatic stress levels were higher than the PTSD symptom threshold, as expected with this sample. Participants rated traumatic events they were exposed to through the media as significantly higher in symptom severity than those they were directly exposed to, on all three PTSD subscales (re-experiencing, avoidance, and hyperarousal). The only variable acting as a significant predictor for PCL-5 scores was, perceived intrusions symptom severity in media trauma. Multiple media exposure (more than one separate event), employment status, gender, socio-economic level, or education did not yield any predictive relationship with post-traumatic stress symptom severity.

Conclusion/Discussion: This study contributes to emerging literature, supporting the inclusion of media exposure as a diagnostic criterion for future versions of the DSM by offering further evidence that traumatic events witnessed via the media, induce post-traumatic stress symptoms.

INTRODUCTION

- •Exposure to lifelong traumatic events, such as; serious accidents, natural disasters, sexual and non-sexual assault, combat, abuse, bereavement, life-threatening illnesses are known to cause **Post-traumatic stress**. (APA, 2013; Lukaschek et al., 2013). Many studies indicate that the magnitude of the trauma, i.e. the degree of exposure, strongly predict the severity of the above PTS (Bal, 2008; Lukaschek et al., 2013).
- •Diagnostic Statistics of Manual of Psychological Disorders V (DSMV, APA, 2013) included new criteria: indirect exposure, by learning that someone the person knows was exposed to trauma (criterion A3). Although indirect (secondary) exposure in PTSD diagnosis improved the practitioner's ability to diagnose and treat post-traumatic stress, there is further evidence for broader Criterion A4, including the exposure from tv and social media. Media induced mass trauma has been studied extensively, especially after the 9/11 terrorist attacks. (Ahern et al., 2004; Deroma et al., 2003; Pfefferbaum et al., 2019; Saylor et al., 2003).
- •However, a Turkish sample was never studied so far, despite the multiple mass traumatic events such as military coup attempt, terrorist bombings, massive protests, surging violence instances. This study investigates the impact of mass traumatic events exposed via media and social media outlets.

METHODS

- Turkish speaking adults (N=122) recruited from Social Media Outlets, such as Facebook and Twitter. The sample consisted mainly of educated, internet users who consume social media content and news media.
- Participants without a media trauma history were excluded.
- Participants were asked to complete a demographic questionnaire containing their trauma histories, the PTSD Checklist-5 (PCL-5), and the Impact of Events Scale-Revised (IES-R) for each of the traumatic events they were directly and indirectly exposed.
- A multiple Regression was calculated with PCL-5 total score as the dependent variable.
- Participants were provided with Information Sheet and Consent Form; Study followed BPS Code of Conduct provided by University of Bolton's approval.

RESULTS

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	•
1	PCL-5 Total Score										_
2	Media IESR Intrusion	.411**									
3	Media IESR Avoidance	.301**	.617**								
4	Media IESR Hyper arousal	.349**	.672**	.585**							
5	Media IESR Total Score	.413**	.907**	.834**	.852**						
6	Direct IESR Intrusion	.292**	.544**	.329**	.376**	.494**					
7	Direct IESR Avoidance	.17	.358**	.318**	.245**	.361**	.815 ^{**}				
8	Direct IESR Hyper arousal	.301**	.527**	.343**	.418**	.505**	.894**	.817**			
9	Direct IESR Total Score	.267**	.503**	.348**	.362**	.478**	.960**	.929**	.947**		
10	Media Trauma Exposure Frequency	.06	04	.17	03	.03	14	197 [*]	14	17	

There was a significant positive moderate correlation between PCL-5 total score and Media IESR Intrusion Symptoms, Media IESR Avoidance Symptoms, Media IESR Hyper Arousal Symptoms, Media IESR Total Score, Direct IESR Intrusion Symptoms, Direct IESR Hyper-Arousal Symptoms, Direct IESR Total Score. No significant relationship was found between PCL-5 Total Score and Direct IESR Avoidance Symptoms (r = 0.171, p = 0.059 n = 122), PCL-5 Total Score and Media Trauma Exposure Frequency (r = 0.064, p = 0.487 n = 122).

RESULTS

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics								
	Mean	Std. Deviation						
Direct IESR Hyper Arousal Symptoms	1.18	0.68						
Direct IESR Intrusion Symptoms	1.21	0.742						
Direct IESR Avoidance Symptoms	1.22	0.655						
Media IESR Avoidance Symptoms	1.35	0.395						
Media IESR Intrusion Symptoms	1.41	0.521						
Media IESR Hyper Arousal Symptoms	1.42	0.498						
Media Trauma Exposure Frequency	1.98	1.16						
Direct IESR Total Score	26.49	14.419						
Media IESR Total Score	30.6	8.959						
Age	31.55	11.91						
PTSD Checklist Total Score (PCL-5)	32.25	18.913						
Valid N (listwise)								

variables taken together almost variability PTSD checklist total score (R2 = 0.396)Media **Intrusion Symptoms** significantly predicted PCL-5 (p=0.018,Scores B=15.08). Relationship the other predictors was not significant.

CONCLUSIONS

- Traumatic social media posts, videos, articles, tv shows, news, newspapers articles produced significant post-traumatic symptoms.
- Multiple media exposure (separate events) had no significant effect on symptom severity.
- This study offers further evidence towards the inclusion of media-induced secondary trauma into the future versions of the DSM.

REFERENCES

- Ahern, J., Sandro Galea MD, D., MPH, Resnick, H., & Vlahov, D. (2004). Television Watching and Mental Health in the General Population of New York City After September 11. Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma, 9(1–2), 109–124.
- APA (Ed.). (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders V (5th ed). American Psychiatric Association.
- Bal, A. (2008). Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder in Turkish Child and Adolescent Survivors Three Years after the Marmara Earthquake. Child and Adolescent Mental Health, 13(3), 134–139.
- Deroma, V., Saylor, C., Swickert, R., Sinisi, C., Marable, T. B., & Vickery, P. (2003). College Students' PTSD Symptoms, Coping, and Perceived Benefits Following Media Exposure to 9/11. Journal of College Student Psychotherapy, 18(1).
- Lukaschek, K., Kruse, J., Emeny, R. T., Lacruz, M. E., von Eisenhart Rothe, A., & Ladwig, K. (2013). Lifetime traumatic experiences and their impact on PTSD: A general population study. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology; Heidelberg, 48(4), 525–532. Pfefferbaum, B., Nitiéma, P., & Newman, E. (2019). Is Viewing Mass Trauma Television Coverage Associated With Trauma Reactions in Adults and Youth? A Meta-Analytic Review. Journal of Traumatic Stress, 32(2).
- Saylor, C. F., Cowart, B. L., Lipovsky, J. A., Jackson, C., & Finch, A. J. (2003). Media exposure to September 11: Elementary school students' experiences and posttraumatic symptoms. American Behavioral Scientist, 46(12), 1622–1642.